The New-York Times.

VOL. VII.....NO. 2091. NEW-YORK, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 2, 1858. PRICE TWO CENTS.

FIVE DAYS LATER FROM EUROPE.

Arrival of the Steamship at this Port.

Sailing of the Steamship for Quebec.

The British Ministerial Crisis still in Suspense.

Destruction by Fire of the Boston Ship Grand Duchess.

PASSENGERS AND CREW ALL SAVED.

LATER FROM INDIA.

INTERESTING COMMERCIAL NEWS.

The steamship *Hermania*, from Southampton on the 19th May, arrived at this port yesterday morning.

The steamship Arabia, from Liverpool on the 19th inst., arrived at Quebec yesterday. The news by these arrivals is four days later

The unusually lengthy passage of the Arabia is explained by the following: On Thursday, when it was found that the Arabia could not enter the Straits of Belisle by reason of the fog, she was turned for Cape Race. Her voyage was thus lengthened very materially.

On Friday, at 2 P. M., the report for the New-York Associated Press, usually sent ashore at River au Loup, was sent to Cape Race by a fishing boat. The fishermen were well meant, though it unfortunately failed to accomplish its object, inasmuch as neither the boat nor report have as yet turned up.

The steamship *Canada* arrived at Liverpool on Monday, 17th May.

In the House of Lords, on the 17th and 18th, nothing of importance occurred.

In the House of Commons, on the same day, the Consolidated Fund Bill was read a third time and passed.

The adjourned debate on the Governor-General of India was resumed by Mr. Bankes, Sir C. Wood, Sir R. Peel, Sir G. Lewis, and was adjourned, on the motion of Mr. Gladstone, till May 18, and being then debated was again adjourned to the 20th.

Parliament did not sit again on the 19th, because it was the "Derby Day."

The Times City Article of May 18 says: "The amount of business transacted to-day in the English funds has been altogether unimportant, but a further slight advance has taken place, apparently on the prospect of the approach of satisfactory arrangements for the future Government of the country."

The *Daily News* City article of May 18, says: "So long as the fate of the Administration hangs in the balance, the Stock Markets cannot be expected to show animation. To-day business was extremely inactive, but great firmness prevailed. The rapid inflow of gold, and the prospect that the Bank of England will recover at least a portion of the sum lately withdrawn from it, promoted a more favorable feeling to-day. The Money Market was quiet, the demand having slackened. At the Bank there were very few applications, and in the open market first-class bills are discounted at 2¼ to 2¾ per cent."

The final quotations of the Three-per-Cents on the Paris Bourse, were 69f. 70c. for money, and 69f. 65c. for end of May.

The Times says: "The change in the wind has brought up the overdue gold ships from Australia, viz.: the Celestine, with 19,231 ounces; *Rosbery Castle*, 50,267 ounces; *Splendora*, 26,060 ounces. Valued at £267,000. *City Manchester* also, with 167 ounces."

American Securities and mines were dull, and without material variation. Great Western of Canada were also heavy, from the continued decrease in the traffic.

Messrs. Naylor, Vickers, & Co., of Sheffield and New-York, have resumed payment in full.

The news from India is interesting. Sir H. Rose's advance on Calpee has been delayed by the fear that the Kotah rebels will fall on Jhansi. Orders have been issued by General Roberts to advance to Kotah to cooperate with Sir H. Rose. This military movement is reported since the capture of Kotah. The 86th force, under Major Evans, after an obstinate battle of some hours, inflicted a severe defeat on a large body of Rhoels—sixty men and four hundred women were captured. British loss, 71 killed and wounded.

Azimghur was relieved by Sir E. Lugard on the 19th of April. The enemy retreated with regularity, but lost three guns and a great number of men. It is said the enemy will make a stand at Calpee.

The London *Chronicle* says: "Telegraphic dispatches have been received announcing the issue of the battle of Grahovo. The Turks were completely beaten by the Montenegrins, losing their cannon and baggage. Kemel Pacha was left dead on the field of battle. The Turks retired to Kloback, where they were in a state of blockade." A later dispatch says that hostilities have been suspended.

The *Herald* says: "The solution of the difference between Turkey and Greece is expected to be shortly terminated."

The trial of the *Cagliari* before the Court of Salerno has been again suspended.

The Times says: "Sinister rumors have been going the round of political circles about the intentions of France towards Belgium, and that at Brussels the prospect of a French invasion is a familiar topic of discussion."

The Cologne Gazette says: "The fortifications of Koenigberg are to be considerably strengthened, and 20 additional forts are to be erected at Spandau, which will make it a fortress of the first rank."

The *Chronicle* contains the following dispatch from Liverpool, dated the 17th ult: "The *Phenix*, Capt. Bloom, that arrived here to-day from Mobile, reports the destruction of a ship by fire. On the 11th, the *Phenix* fell in with the ship *Grand Duchess*, of Boston, from New-York to Liverpool, which sailed from the latter port for her destination on the 13th April. The *Grand Duchess* was then on fire, and in a most dangerous and critical position, as regards not only the ship herself, but her passengers and crew. The ship was totally consumed, passengers and crew saved by the *Phenix*."

The *Grand Duchess* is owned in Boston by Wm. Rogers, and is insured there for $50,000.

THE MINISTERIAL CRISIS IN GREAT BRITAIN.

CONTINUATION OF THE DEBATE ON THE RESOLUTIONS OF CENSURE—SPEECHES OF MR. ROEBUCK, SIR C. WOOD AND OTHERS.

In the House of Commons, on the 17th, the debate on Mr. Cardwell's resolution of censure against the Government, for Lord Ellenborough's untimely dispatch to the Governor-General of India, was resumed.

Mr. Bernard said—Sir, a question of more importance was, perhaps, never submitted for discussion in any legislative assembly than that which now occupies the attention of this House. If we think of the number of persons likely to be affected by it, and of the time at which the proposition is brought forward, all these considerations indicate the importance of the subject now under discussion. Sir, Gibbon, in his *History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire*, enters into a discussion on the probable capacity of that empire, and in that magnificent record which he gives of the power and importance of the Empire of Rome, he says that the Italians and provincials taken together amounted in numbers to about 120,000,000, and he adds that that was the largest community of men ever subject to any system of domination. But, Sir, our Indian empire leaves this far behind. There are not only 120,000,000, but I think the persons who are influenced by our dominion in India amount to nearly 200,000,000, and there is now submitted to our consideration a question involving the happiness of this large section of the human race; for we have to determine whether the dominion is to be guided by the great principles of honesty and virtue, (cheers) or whether the power which we exercise is to be the only guide of our conduct, and that the object which we have in view is the sole aggrandizement of England. As an Englishman, I cannot help feeling strongly for the dominion, the happiness, and the power of my country; still, there are things which in my mind are even greater than England's importance, and one of these is that mankind should learn to recognize and practice the great principles of honor and virtue. At this present moment there is involved in our discussion this important question—shall we, in the furtherance of our dominion, for objects purely selfish, forget the great principles of virtue and justice. Shall we, in order to establish our dominion over what I may call the defenceless people of India, utterly regardless of that which civilization ought to teach us to regard—shall we, I say, pursue our own objects without any consideration of the principles which ought to govern us as a people? The motion made by the right hon. gentleman the other night is, I think, one of the most transparent of party manœuvres I have ever seen stood (cheers) and I have seen many transactions of that sort in the very moment we, the House of Commons, are rendering the manœuvres of the government of India—for it is idle to talk of the Crown. *The Crown means the House of Commons.* (A laugh.) That appears to excite in the minds of some a feeling resembling like surprise; but I would ask any man who understands the practical working of our system of government to answer me this question—to tell all the power said to be exercised in the Crown really exercised by this House? Shall we say, when we transfer the government of India from the East India Company to the Crown, that we do not give it to the Ministers of the Crown who act nominally in the name of this House? Therefore I say that the question of transferring the government of India from the East India Company to the Crown, we really declare that the House of Commons is to govern India. And at the very time when we are discussing this matter of the government of India, there comes before us a mere matter of party politics. (Cheers.) We forget the happiness of 200,000,000 of men, and we reduce the question to the consideration of this bench and that. (Renewed cheers, and "No, no!") Yes, no! Is there any man so utterly void of consideration of what is going on around him and of what is being enacted before his eyes as that any man so like a blind puppy (a laugh) as not to perceive that what we are fighting for now *is not the possession of India, but the government of this country.* (Cheers.) But, Sir, let us, if we can, forget these party politics; let us, if we can, consider this question as affecting the happiness of 200,000,000 of human beings. Now, what is the question before us? The right honorable gentleman, the member for Oxford, (Mr. Cardwell,) propounds a resolution which really involves three points for consideration. First, he says that the President of the Board of Control was wrong in writing any dispatch in answer to the proclamation of Lord Canning; then he says he wrote the wrong dispatch; and then he says he was wrong in the publication of that dispatch. Now, I am prepared to meet him on all these points. (Cheers.) I say, first, he was bound to write that dispatch; I say, secondly, he wrote the right answer in that dispatch; and, thirdly, I say he was not answerable for the production of that dispatch. (Cheers.) I have no doubt that may astonish some gentlemen in this House, but before I have done I hope I shall be able to satisfy them of its justice. Was he or was he not bound to write an answer to that proclamation? I will not now enter into any discussion of the manner in which we have acquired dominion in India; I will only say this—that having entered it as a body of mere merchants, we, in course of time acquired the dominion of that vast country, but that we did not do so without sacrificing on almost every occasion every principle which ought to guide the justice of a nation [cheers]—that we have been rapacious, that we have been cruel, that we have been unjust, but that we have acquired dominion. [Cheers.] We have done it by the great power and capacity of the men we have sent there—by the wonderful valor of our troops, than which nothing can be more wonderful, (hear, hear,) but, notwithstanding we have sacrificed truth, honor and justice. (Hear, hear.) I know these are to many gentlemen unpalatable truths, but they are truths nevertheless. (Hear, hear.) There is only one justification of dominion, and that is, that it is such as to promote the happiness of the people over whom it is exercised, but in order to produce that happiness it must be a merciful Government. (Hear, hear.) Now, having acquired this dominion in India, there arose a rebellion against us. We had a wonderful army, and that army mutinied. *Let no man for one instant suppose that I stand up as one not feeling, deeply feeling, all the atrocities that mutinous army have perpetrated.* No man has been more ready to raise his voice against them than I have been, and I will say let punishment to the uttermost follow those atrocities. (hear;) *but I draw a broad distinction between the mutinous army and the people of India.* (Hear, hear.) And now I come to Oude. The Governor-General of India, and the Directors of the East India Company were pleased to annex Oude to our dominions. I hold some peculiar opinions on this matter. ("Hear, hear," from the Opposition.) You do not know what these opinions are, why do you cheer? (Laughter.) The opinions I hold are that I think the first injustice the least, (hear, hear;) *that when you have conquered a country you ought to annex it at once, and not create intermediate authorities and enter into treaties with them; but she, having done so, you are bound by those treaties.* (Hear, hear.) Let me take the instance of Scinde. These we began unjustly, but still we conquered Scinde, and we annexed it. That I think a better mode of proceeding than we followed in Oude. We entered into treaties with Oude as far back as 1801, and these treaties contained certain stipulations with regard to the dominions of Oude. Another treaty was made after that, but though laid on the table of this House, it has been declared to be no treaty at all. (Hear, hear.) Ultimately it was declared, on the ground of the treaty, that because the King of Oude did not behave to his people as he ought to have done, his kingdom was to be annexed to our dominions. Now, I say that was an injustice which it would have been far better to avoid by annexing Oude at once. (Hear, hear.) Be that as it may, it pleased the Governor-General and the Court of Directors to annex Oude to our dominions. We ought to recollect that the people of Oude were accustomed to their native dominion, and when the annexation took place they expressed strong feelings of dissatisfaction, and when the *mutiny of the army broke out they joined the mutineers.* We warred against them, but will any man tell me that we warred against the people of Oude as a band of mutineers and not as a nation? (Hear, hear.) It was a nation warring against a nation, and we conquered them. Now, I solicit the attention of the House to what followed. A scene was enacted to which the history of mankind shows no parallel. (Hear, hear.) *Annexing Oude, we immediately proceeded to dispossess the landowners.* (Hear.) That created discontent. When the mutiny broke out, the people of Oude, as I have said, joined the mutineers, and we conquered them. But before Oude was conquered, the present Governor-General issued a proclamation, by which he confiscated—I use the term in English as it was written in English—he confiscated, with the exception of that of six persons, all the landed property of Oude. (Hear.) I say that the history of mankind affords no parallel to such a case. (Hear.) I know that the Normans in their day confiscated a great deal of the land, but they did not go the length of confiscating all with the exception of the property of six persons. (Hear, hear.) But to the commencement of the question now before us. The Government of India in this country receives from India a proclamation that was ordered to be issued on the fall of Lucknow; and what did that Government do? It sees that a proclamation is about to be issued which in that Government's opinion would make the whole people of Oude rebellious to the very end of their lives. (Cheers) and I ask gentlemen to observe that it has been said, and I believe cannot be contradicted, that this proclamation, so issued, so declared, was done in opposition to the opinions and wishes of Sir Colin Campbell, Sir J. Outram, and Sir J. Lawrence. (Hear, hear.) I have seen private letters in London from India, which say *that the effect of this proclamation was equivalent to a demand for twenty thousand troops in addition to those we have already in India.* (Hear.) I say, then, that the Government which would have passed over a proclamation of that description in silence, would have deserved impeachment (hear;) and I believe there would have been none so ready to say so as the gentlemen now on that (the Opposition) bench. (Laughter.) The President of the Board of Control, on receiving such a proclamation, was bound to express an opinion. Whose opinion? What opinion? His own. (Hear, hear.) He was bound, acting on his own understanding, to tell Lord Canning what he thought of it, and he did tell him. (Hear, hear.) What other conclusion could he have come to when he read of the confiscation forever of the lands of the people of Oude—for it is idle to tell me this proclamation does not confiscate the whole land of Oude? (Hear, hear.) There are some ugly words in that proclamation, the true sense of which the House cannot fail to see: it will only be guided by its own judgment in point of being led away by party feeling. (Hear, hear.) The Governor-General, in this proclamation, it will be observed, informs the people of Oude that the Government will remunerate those who preserve their allegiance, and he names six persons who are to be permitted to retain their property. But he says further—and, mark you, this proclamation is addressed to the people of Oude, (cheers,) not to the chiefs, not to the landed proprietors, not to the Dukes of Bedford, (cheers and laughter,) but to the people of Oude, with six exceptions, and to them he says that "The proprietary right in the soil of the province is confiscated to the British Government, which will dispose of that right in such manner as to it may seem fitting." (Cheers.) You will recollect that this was written in English, (hear,) and that words more complete could not be used to signify *that the whole landed property of Oude was confiscated to the Government of England.* (Hear.) And now I will make an observation, if the House will allow me, upon the statement made by the noble Lord the member for the city of London on the injustice of publishing that proclamation and the dispatch of the noble Lord the President of the Board of Control. Now, let us hear how it did occur. This intended proclamation was sent home, but the proclamation was issued at Lucknow, after the fall of Lucknow, and differed in some respects from that proclamation. My honorable friend the member from Birmingham (Mr. Bright) read the actual proclamation as it was published in the *Times*. He put a question and an answer was given to him, to which by and by I will refer. The answer given was the answer to this proclamation that I have read, and he was promised that the answer should be laid on the table. It was laid on the table. Could you lay on the table an answer that was not given? ("Oh!") All the Government could do was to say, "We have sent an answer to the intended proclamation, and that answer you shall know." You did know it, and what was there wrong in that? It is a very simple statement, but a very true one. Therefore, I say that Lord Ellenborough was bound to give an answer; that, in the second place, he was bound to give that answer which in his honest mind he intended to give; and that this answer was made. And now I come to the third question involved. It has been said that Lord Ellenborough ought to have made an answer, but that he had no right to publish that dispatch. Therein was the gravamen of the charge made by the right hon. gentleman, (Mr. Cardwell.) I would ask the house whether there are not certain questions that compel an answer? If I go up to the Secretary of the India Board, and say—"Would you rob a man?" and if the honorable gentleman replied—"Considerations of public policy prevent my answering the question," the presumption would be that he would rob him. (A laugh.) The honorable member for Birmingham said—"I have seen a proclamation from the Governor-General of India about Oude, and I want to know if you have heard of it, whether you have answered it, and the nature of your answer." What occurred? The Secretary to the Indian Board got up and answered one half of that question. He said "We have answered that proclamation, and you shall see the answer." Upon which my honorable friend, who is not a man to be put off, said "I hope the Government are not satisfied with that answer; I am not. I want to know what you said. Did you agree with the proclamation?" "No," said the Chancellor of the Exchequer, "we did not agree with it; we directed from the policy indicated in every sense." (Cheers.) Now I ask the House, as men of common sense, whether, if the right honorable gentleman had got up and said "considerations of public policy prevent my answering the question," the conclusion of every man would not have been that the Government blamed that proclamation? (Hear, hear.) It is as certain as they are really declare that the House of Commons is to govern India. And at the very time when we are discussing this matter of the government of India there comes before us a mere matter of party politics. (Cheers.) We forget the happiness of 200,000,000 of men, and we reduce the question to the consideration of this bench and that. (Renewed cheers, and "No, no!") Yes, no! Is there any man so utterly void of consideration of what is going on around him and of what is being enacted before his eyes as that any man so like a blind puppy (a laugh) as not to perceive that what we are fighting for now *is not the possession of India, but the government of this country.* (Cheers.) But, Sir, let us, if we can, forget these party politics; let us, if we can, consider this question as affecting the happiness of 200,000,000 of human beings. Now, what is the question before us? The right honorable gentleman, the member for Oxford, (Mr. Cardwell,) propounds a resolution which really involves three points for consideration. First, he says that the President of the Board of Control was wrong in writing any dispatch in answer to the proclamation of Lord Canning; then he says he wrote the wrong dispatch; and then he says he was wrong in the publication of that dispatch. Now, I am prepared to meet him on all these points. (Cheers.) I say, first, he was bound to write that dispatch; I say, secondly, he wrote the right answer in that dispatch; and, thirdly, I say he was not answerable for the production of that dispatch. (Cheers.) I have no doubt that may astonish some gentlemen in this House, but before I have done I hope I shall be able to satisfy them of its justice. Was he or was he not bound to write an answer to that proclamation? I will not now enter into any discussion of the manner in which we have acquired dominion in India; I will only say this—that having entered it as a body of mere merchants, we, in course of time acquired the dominion of that vast country, but that we did not do so without sacrificing on almost every occasion every principle which ought to guide the justice of a nation [cheers]—that we have been rapacious, that we have been cruel, that we have been unjust, but that we have acquired dominion. [Cheers.] We have done it by the great power and capacity of the men we have sent there—by the wonderful valor of our troops, than which nothing can be more wonderful, (hear, hear,) but, notwithstanding we have sacrificed truth, honor and justice. (Hear, hear.) I know these are to many gentlemen unpalatable truths, but they are truths nevertheless. (Hear, hear.) There is only one justification of dominion, and that is, that it is such as to promote the happiness of the people over whom it is exercised, but in order to produce that happiness it must be a merciful Government. (Hear, hear.) Now, having acquired this dominion in India, there arose a rebellion against us. We had a wonderful army, and that army mutinied. *Let no man for one instant suppose that I stand up as one not feeling, deeply feeling, all the atrocities that mutinous army have perpetrated.* No man has been more ready to raise his voice against them than I have been, and I will say let punishment to the uttermost follow those atrocities. (hear;) *but I draw a broad distinction between the mutinous army and the people of India.* (Hear, hear.) And now I come to Oude. The Governor-General of India, and the Directors of the East India Company were pleased to annex Oude to our dominions. I hold some peculiar opinions on this matter. ("Hear, hear," from the Opposition.) You do not know what these opinions are, why do you cheer? (Laughter.) The opinions I hold are that I think the first injustice the least, (hear, hear;) *that when you have conquered a country you ought to annex it at once, and not create intermediate authorities and enter into treaties with them; but she, having done so, you are bound by those treaties.* (Hear, hear.) Let me take the instance of Scinde. These we began unjustly, but still we conquered Scinde, and we annexed it. That I think a better mode of proceeding than we followed in Oude. We entered into treaties with Oude as far back as 1801, and these treaties contained certain stipulations with regard to the dominions of Oude. Another treaty was made after that, but though laid on the table of this House, it has been declared to be no treaty at all. (Hear, hear.) Ultimately it was declared, on the ground of the treaty, that because the King of Oude did not behave to his people as he ought to have done, his kingdom was to be annexed to our dominions. Now, I say that was an injustice which it would have been far better to avoid by annexing Oude at once. (Hear, hear.) Be that as it may, it pleased the Governor-General and the Court of Directors to annex Oude to our dominions. We ought to recollect that the people of Oude were accustomed to their native dominion, and when the annexation took place they expressed strong feelings of dissatisfaction, and when the *mutiny of the army broke out they joined the mutineers.* We warred against them, but will any man tell me that we warred against the people of Oude as a band of mutineers and not as a nation? (Hear, hear.) It was a nation warring against a nation, and we conquered them. Now, I solicit the attention of the House to what followed. A scene was enacted to which the history of mankind shows no parallel. (Hear, hear.) *Annexing Oude, we immediately proceeded to dispossess the landowners.* (Hear.) That created discontent. When the mutiny broke out, the people of Oude, as I have said, joined the mutineers, and we conquered them. But before Oude was conquered, the present Governor-General issued a proclamation, by which he confiscated—I use the term in English as it was written in English—he confiscated, with the exception of that of six persons, all the landed property of Oude. (Hear.) I say that the history of mankind affords no parallel to such a case. (Hear.) I know that the Normans in their day confiscated a great deal of the land, but they did not go the length of confiscating all with the exception of the property of six persons. (Hear, hear.) But to the commencement of the question now before us. The Government of India in this country receives from India a proclamation that was ordered to be issued on the fall of Lucknow; and what did that Government do? It sees that a proclamation is about to be issued which in that Government's opinion would make the whole people of Oude rebellious to the very end of their lives. (Cheers) and I ask gentlemen to observe that it has been said, and I believe cannot be contradicted, that this proclamation, so issued, so declared, was done in opposition to the opinions and wishes of Sir Colin Campbell, Sir J. Outram, and Sir J. Lawrence. (Hear, hear.) I have seen private letters in London from India, which say *that the effect of this proclamation was equivalent to a demand for twenty thousand troops in addition to those we have already in India.* (Hear.) I say, then, that the Government which would have passed over a proclamation of that description in silence, would have deserved impeachment (hear;) and I believe there would have been none so ready to say so as the gentlemen now on that (the Opposition) bench. (Laughter.) The President of the Board of Control, on receiving such a proclamation, was bound to express an opinion. Whose opinion? What opinion? His own. (Hear, hear.) He was bound, acting on his own understanding, to tell Lord Canning what he thought of it, and he did tell him. (Hear, hear.) What other conclusion could he have come to when he read of the confiscation forever of the lands of the people of Oude—for it is idle to tell me this proclamation does not confiscate the whole land of Oude? (Hear, hear.) There are some ugly words in that proclamation, the true sense of which the House cannot fail to see: it will only be guided by its own judgment in point of being led away by party feeling. (Hear, hear.) The Governor-General, in this proclamation, it will be observed, informs the people of Oude that the Government will remunerate those who preserve their allegiance, and he names six persons who are to be permitted to retain their property. But he says further—and, mark you, this proclamation is addressed to the people of Oude, (cheers,) not to the chiefs, not to the landed proprietors, not to the Dukes of Bedford, (cheers and laughter,) but to the people of Oude, with six exceptions, and to them he says that "The proprietary right in the soil of the province is confiscated to the British Government, which will dispose of that right in such manner as to it may seem fitting." (Cheers.) You will recollect that this was written in English, (hear,) and that words more complete could not be used to signify *that the whole landed property of Oude was confiscated to the Government of England.* (Hear.) And now I will make an observation, if the House will allow me, upon the statement made by the noble Lord the member for the city of London on the injustice of publishing that proclamation and the dispatch of the noble Lord the President of the Board of Control. Now, let us hear how it did occur. This intended proclamation was sent home, but the proclamation was issued at Lucknow, after the fall of Lucknow, and differed in some respects from that proclamation. My honorable friend the member from Birmingham (Mr. Bright) read the actual proclamation as it was published in the *Times*. He put a question and an answer was given to him, to which by and by it will refer. The answer given was the answer to this proclamation that I have read, and he was promised that the answer should be laid on the table. It was laid on the table. Could you lay on the table an answer that was not given? ("Oh!") All the Government could do was to say, "We have sent an answer to the intended proclamation, and that answer you shall know." You did know it, and what was there wrong in that? It is a very simple statement, but a very true one. Therefore, I say that Lord Ellenborough was bound to give an answer; that, in the second place, he was bound to give that answer which in his honest mind he intended to give; and that this answer was made. And now I come to the third question involved. It has been said that Lord Ellenborough ought to have made an answer, but that he had no right to publish that dispatch. Therein was the gravamen of the charge made by the right hon. gentleman, (Mr. Cardwell.) I would ask the house whether there are not certain questions that compel an answer? If I go up to the Secretary of the India Board, and say—"Would you rob a man?" and if the honorable gentleman replied—"Considerations of public policy prevent my answering the question," the presumption would be that he would rob him. (A laugh.) The honorable member for Birmingham said—"I have seen a proclamation from the Governor-General of India about Oude, and I want to know if you have heard of it, whether you have answered it, and the nature of your answer." What occurred? The Secretary to the Indian Board got up and answered one half of that question. He said "We have answered that proclamation, and you shall see the answer." Upon which my honorable friend, who is not a man to be put off, said "I hope the Government are not satisfied with that answer; I am not. I want to know what you said. Did you agree with the proclamation?" "No," said the Chancellor of the Exchequer, "we did not agree with it; we directed from the policy indicated in every sense." (Cheers.) Now I ask the House, as men of common sense, whether, if the right honorable gentleman had got up and said "considerations of public policy prevent my answering the question," the conclusion of every man would not have been that the Government blamed that proclamation? (Hear, hear.) It is as certain as they are

Sir G. Lewis denied that the motion was an attempt to raise a party issue; there was nothing further from the truth, he said, than that this question had not been forced upon the Opposition, who could not, without a dereliction of political duty, have passed it over. He reviewed the policy of annexing Oude from its inception, and then examined the proclamation, and the meaning of the term "confiscation" in that document, upon which so much stress had been laid. What he understood by it was, he said, not actual but threatened confiscation. According to the fairest and most reasonable construction, what Lord Canning intended was, in case of a rebellion where the territory had belonged to the Crown and the East India Company, to declare as a penalty that the proprietary right should be forfeited to the British Government. It never could have been his intention to dispossess the entire population of Oude, and turn them adrift, which was contrary to modern policy, and the Government, before assuming anything so improbable, ought, he contended, to have waited for explanation. If, after the dispatch was officially brought to the knowledge of the House, it took no step, but silently acquiesced in the writing and dispatching such an instrument, it would share in the responsibility of the Government, and become an accomplice in their acts.

Mr. Whitbread began by noticing the inconsistency shown by the supporters of a resolution which declared that the House abstained from giving an opinion upon the policy of the proclamation in deciding its policy and asserting that that was the true question before the House and the foundation of the whole discussion. He observed that, although the publication of the opinion of the Government on the subject of the policy contained in the proclamation had been made the foundation of this motion, his declarations of their views had been distinctly and unequivocally made by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, in reply to a question put by Sir E. Perry, on the 22d of April, the declaration being, he said, one in the name of the Government. He then commented upon the want of explanation of the proclamation, and upon the "private letter," which was still not forthcoming, regarding, as an explanation had not been received from Lord Canning, that they might have been some other private letter, whether of the other had been, the information in which would have afforded a material guide to Lord Ellenborough. Referring to the proclamation, which he termed an awful one, he contrasted its policy with similar documents promulgated by the Marquis of Wellesley and the Marquis of Dalhousie, and challenged the production of any proclamation issued by the British Government in which private property was not respected. The meaning of the proclamation was disputed, but the question was what the document, when submitted to the Government, could be fairly understood to mean; and he contended that this monstrous proclamation could only be understood to be fulminated not only against large landholders, but holders of small properties,—a class martial as well as agricultural. He read the dispatch of Lord Ellenborough by the light of this construction of the proclamation, and he repelled the objection of Lord J. Russell to the reference made in the dispatch to the annexation of Oude. He defended the policy of the dispatch, and, he said, in the letter, but in spirit; if the other side disputed that policy, he called upon them to bring the question to an issue upon a distinct motion, and try whether it was condemned by the House and by the country.

On the motion of Mr. Cotton, the debate was again adjourned till the next day.

In the House of Commons on the 18th, the debate on Mr. Cardwell's resolution was continued, but without eliciting anything of importance. It was confined to the mere asking and answering of questions, and was again adjourned.

THE VERY LATEST.

BY TELEGRAPH FROM LONDON TO LIVERPOOL.

LONDON, Wednesday Morning.

The *Times* City article reports the funds quiet throughout Tuesday, under the uncertainty in regard to the Ministry.

The *Daily News* reports the market less strong than on the previous day, and Consols close a shade lower. Gold continued to flow into the Bank of England in considerable quantities. In the Discount market choice bills were negotiated at 2¼@2½ per cent.

The Bombay mail was expected to reach London this evening.

Messrs. Naylor, Vickers & Co. have announced their readiness to meet every liability, whether matured or not. Four-fifths of their assets were in America, where their collections have exceeded all their expectations.

The Duchess of Orleans died at her residence, near London, after a brief illness, on the 18th inst., aged 49.

Commercial Intelligence.

LIVERPOOL COTTON MARKET.

The sales of the three days in the Liverpool Cotton market were 17,000 bales, of which speculators took 1,000, and exporters 1,000 bales. The fair and lower qualities had declined ⅛d., while middling descriptions were unchanged, scarce and firm.

MANCHESTER MARKET.

The advices from Manchester are favorable; the market closed quiet but steady.

LIVERPOOL BREADSTUFFS MARKET.

Messrs. Richardson, Spence & Co. state that the weather had been favorable for the crops. Flour dull—Western Canal, 21s @21s. 6d.; Philadelphia and Baltimore, 22s.@22s. 6d.; Ohio, 22s. 6d.@25s. Wheat quiet but steady—Red, 6s.; White, 7s.@7s. 4d. Corn quiet, and 6d. lower—White, 33s.; Yellow, 34s.@34s. 6d.

LIVERPOOL PROVISION MARKET.

Beef was dull. Pork steady. Bacon firm but quiet. Lard quiet at 56s. 6d.@57s. 6d. Tallow slow of sale, but prices unaltered; sales at 55s. 9d.

LIVERPOOL PRODUCE MARKET.

Rosin was dull at 4s. for Common. Ashes firm at 29s.@29s. 6d. for both Pots and Pearls. Sugar was steady. Coffee quiet. Rice quiet. Linseed Oil 32s. 9d.@33s. Spirits Turpentine was firm, and holders demanded 46s. Quebec Bark was weak in price and but little doing—Philadelphia, 9s.; Baltimore, 7s 3d.@7s. 6d.

LONDON MARKETS.

Breadstuffs were firm and steady. Sugar firm, with a slight improvement in the better qualities; for inferior qualities quotations were barely maintained. Coffee firm. Tea—An average business without quotable change in rates. Rice quiet, but firm. Tallow dull at 53s. 6d. Pig Iron steady at 54s. Linseed Oil firm. Spirits of Turpentine firm at a slight advance; sales at 45s. At the Wool sales, the biddings were spirited, and previous rates were fully sustained.

AMERICAN SECURITIES.

The market for American Securities was generally unchanged. The latest sales of Illinois Central Shares were at 10¾c.@11c. discount.

LONDON MONEY MARKET.

LONDON, Wednesday—11 A. M.

Consols opened at 97⅛@97⅜ for money, and 97⅛@97⅝ for the account.

FURTHER FROM MEXICO.

Details of News by the Tennessee—Reported Closing of Mexican Ports, &c.

From the New-Orleans Picayune, May 25.

The steamship *Tennessee*, Captain Forbes, arrived here yesterday from Vera Cruz, which she left on the 21st inst., to which date we have files of papers thence. The *Tennessee* brings Admiral Zebman as passengers, and six others in the steerage. Her freight consists of five cases of vanilla, consigned to Bringuera & Co., and $11,680 in specie.

At Sacrificios, the Spanish frigate *Bayles*, and the French brig-of-war *La Peyrouse*, were lying at anchor.

Daily communication with the interior was uninterrupted, as far as mails and passengers were concerned; but the conveyance of freight was not attempted.

The port of Vera Cruz had been blockaded for three days by the Mexican war-steamer *Guerrero*, but on the 17th she went south for fuel.

On the morning of 21st Captain Forbes was informed that advices had been received from the Capital that the Zuloaga Government had declared all Mexican ports closed to commerce.

The mail arrived from the Capitol in time for the *Tennessee*.

The *Progreso*, of the 21st instant, published a decree of Governor Zamora, strictly ordering the debts due on corporation property purchased under the *ley Lerdo*, the law of *desamortizacion*, to be paid and entered, in accordance with the provisions of that enactment, which some of the purchasers, at least, have sought to evade, endeavoring to secure the property without paying for it.

On General Marin, of the steamship *Guerrero*, announcing to Commodore Geoffroy, of the French brigantine-of-war *La Peyrouse*, that he had blockaded that port, this officer observed that the blockade was attempted in a very unusual manner, and that he had received instructions from the French Minister in Mexico, he should take under his immediate protection French merchant vessels, which might be on the way thither and ignorant of the steps taken to blockade the port.

The *Progreso* gives a lamentable picture of the condition of Echeagaray's forces in Cordova. They are said to be without pay or rations, the soldiers and their families dependant on charity for support, and the former subjected to severe punishments for the slightest offences. Two of the garrison are said to have died from ill-treatment, and four others to be in the hospital without hope of recovery. The chiefs are said to have plundered the rector of $800, and then to have tried to induce him to sign a document declaring that the *pures* or Constitutionalists had robbed him of it! In order to secure a vote of thanks from the ladies to Echeagaray, they are said to have threatened their fathers and brothers that they would ally with the soldiers if they did not sign the document! One lady, for declining to take it round for signatures among her friends, was threatened exclusion from the church, and refusal of absolution! Coming through the channel it does—the *Progreso* being a vehement *puro*—all this is to be taken *cum grano salis*.

A decree of Governor Zamora, of the 19th inst., permits the introduction of foreign ordinary soap into Vera Cruz, for the period of three months, at the same duty as is charged on the home made article.

Official communications from General Alvarez, according to the *Progreso*, announce to Señor de la Llave, that he would have two additional brigades in the field by the 3d inst., one of which was to operate against Toluca, the other against Iguala, with a view of advancing on Cuernavaca. The routing of Miramon at San Luis Potosi, and the brilliant triumph of the Liberals at Zacatecas, conjoined with this, had induced the Zuloaga Government to send Ocotlos, with a force of 1,500 recruits and $120,000, to reunite the scattered troops, and meet the old General. His triumph, however, is confidently calculated on; for, says the *Progreso*, in the case of Alvarez, there will be no defections, treacheries, or tardy negotiations and capitulations to make.

A corporal and a private who had deserted from the Zuloaguista forces at the *Puesto Nacionale* and gone to Vera Cruz, are said to declare that for eight days those forces had to live on half a real a day, and that for four days subsequently they were without pay or support of any kind.

Sr. Degollado, it is stated, was advancing against Guadalajara, with a respectable force, with which there was no doubt he would be able to overcome the small Zuloaguista garrison there, under Blancarte.

A forced loan had to be imposed in the capital to raise the money placed at the disposal of Ocios, as above stated.

Sr. Negrete addressed a lengthy letter to Col. Frase, asking him to abandon the Constitutionalists; but the latter declined in very elaborate terms, and published the correspondence.

There had been a skirmish at the Puente National on the 14th, in which the attacking guerrillas of the Constitutionalists were successful, but it did not amount to much.

On the 10th inst., a terrible explosion took place at Vera Cruz, in an establishment for making munitions, by which about a dozen people were killed on the spot, three so severely injured that they died a few days afterwards, and many more wounded so that they still remained in the hospital.

A circular, signed by Sr. Comonfort, as Secretary of State, dated the 6th inst., announces the establishment of the Constitutionalist Government, under President Juarez, in Vera Cruz.

The Zuloaga Government has nominated Sr. Lafragua as Minister to Rome.

General Santa Ana offered his services to the Juarez Government.

THE BRITISH AGGRESSIONS.

The Feeling at the British Embassy.

Lord Napier's Dispatches Forwarded by Special Steamer from Halifax.

THE CASE OF THE SHIP JOHN AND ALBERT.

Uneasiness at the British Embassy—Fears of a Collision between the English and American War-Vessels.

[OUR SPECIAL WASHINGTON DISPATCH.]

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, June 1.

Considerable uneasiness is felt at the British Embassy lest a collision should occur in the Gulf between the English and American war-vessels before the British Admiral receives Lord Napier's dispatches, which he has requested to be sent in a special steamer from Halifax to Bermuda. Rumors were actually current here to-day, of a collision between the U. S. steam-frigate *Fulton* and the *Styx*, last heard from at Key West, but they were without any foundation. O.

[FROM THE REPORTER FOR THE ASSOCIATED PRESS.]

WASHINGTON, Tuesday, June 1.

Lord Napier has desired his dispatches to Admiral Seymour, sent from Washington yesterday, to be forwarded from Halifax by special steamer to Bermuda. He has advised the Admiral to order a discontinuance of the visitation of American vessels, pending the instructions of Her Majesty's Government.

BRITISH OUTRAGES IN THE GULF.

Case of the Ship John and Albert—Full Particulars by Captain Crowell—War-Steamers off the Balize.

The New-Orleans *Picayune*, of the 25th of May, publishes the following account, as given by Captain Crowell, of being chased, fired at five times, and finally boarded by a British war-steamer, in the Gulf, on the 17th inst.:

May 17, 1858, at sea on board ship *John and Albert*, of Boston, H. Crowell, master.

At noon, Stone Key Lighthouse, Cuba, bore southwest about 12 miles distance, a great number of vessels in sight, steering different courses. Observed a two-topsail schooner, standing out from Cardenas, as I supposed, and bound for Havana, as there are two schooner propeller packets in that trade.

At 2 o'clock she was a little forward of our beam, steering the same course, and rather going ahead of us, as the breeze was light, not more than 6 knots per hour. I occasionally looked at her, but saw no colors or pennant flying. The latter could not be seen on account of her top-gallant sails being hoisted up

MARKET REPORTS.

DRY GOODS.

MISCELLANEOUS.

The New-York Daily Times.
NEW-YORK: WEDNESDAY, JUNE 3, 1852.

LATEST BY TELEGRAPH.

MORNING PRICE MOVEMENTS.

The Steam Mail Service Bill—Mutual Insurance Companies.

Proposed Reduction in the Census Appropriation.

Amendments to the Census Appropriation Bill.

Election Returns.

Markets by Telegraph.

Marine Affairs.

Miscellaneous Telegraphic Intelligence.

Latest from Washington.

Latest from Albany.

Latest from Boston.

Latest from Philadelphia.

Latest from Baltimore.

Latest from Cincinnati.

Latest from Pittsburgh.

Latest from Buffalo.

Latest from Detroit.

Latest from Chicago.

Latest from Milwaukie.

Latest from St. Louis.

Latest from Mobile.

Latest from New-Orleans.

Latest from Texas.

Latest from Havana.

Latest from Europe.

Arrival of the Steamship Atlantic.

Markets by Telegraph.

Marine Affairs.

Miscellaneous Telegraphic Intelligence.

Latest from Washington.

Latest from Albany.

Latest from Boston.

Latest from Philadelphia.

Latest from Baltimore.

Latest from Cincinnati.

Latest from Pittsburgh.

Latest from Buffalo.

Latest from Detroit.

Latest from Chicago.

Latest from Milwaukie.

Latest from St. Louis.

Latest from Mobile.

Latest from New-Orleans.

Latest from Texas.

Latest from Havana.

Latest from Europe.

Arrival of the Steamship Atlantic.

Markets by Telegraph.

Marine Affairs.

Miscellaneous Telegraphic Intelligence.

Latest from Washington.

Latest from Albany.

Latest from Boston.

Latest from Philadelphia.

Latest from Baltimore.

Latest from Cincinnati.

Latest from Pittsburgh.

Latest from Buffalo.

Latest from Detroit.

Latest from Chicago.

Latest from Milwaukie.

Latest from St. Louis.

Latest from Mobile.

Latest from New-Orleans.

Latest from Texas.

Latest from Havana.

Latest from Europe.

Arrival of the Steamship Atlantic.

Markets by Telegraph.

Marine Affairs.

Miscellaneous Telegraphic Intelligence.

Latest from Washington.

Latest from Albany.

Latest from Boston.

Latest from Philadelphia.

Latest from Baltimore.

Latest from Cincinnati.

Latest from Pittsburgh.

Latest from Buffalo.

Latest from Detroit.

Latest from Chicago.

Latest from Milwaukie.

Latest from St. Louis.

Latest from Mobile.

Latest from New-Orleans.

Latest from Texas.

Latest from Havana.

Latest from Europe.

Arrival of the Steamship Atlantic.

Markets by Telegraph.

Marine Affairs.

Miscellaneous Telegraphic Intelligence.

Latest from Washington.

Latest from Albany.

Latest from Boston.

Latest from Philadelphia.

Latest from Baltimore.

Latest from Cincinnati.

Latest from Pittsburgh.

Latest from Buffalo.

Latest from Detroit.

Latest from Chicago.

Latest from Milwaukie.

Latest from St. Louis.

Latest from Mobile.

Latest from New-Orleans.

Latest from Texas.

Latest from Havana.

Latest from Europe.

Arrival of the Steamship Atlantic.

SITUATIONS WANTED.

FEMALES.

WANTED—SITUATIONS IN CITY OR COUNTRY.

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A MIDDLE-AGED

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A YOUNG WO-

WANTED—BY A YOUNG WOMAN, A SITUA-

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A RESPECTABLE

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A RESPECTABLE

WANTED—A SITUATION BY TWO YOUNG GIRLS

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A RESPECTABLE

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A RESPECTABLE

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A RESPECTABLE

LAW INTELLIGENCE.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE—Special Term.

Before Mr. Justice Vanderpoel.

Argued this morning.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS—Special Term.

Before Mr. Justice Daly.

Argued this morning.

SUPERIOR COURT—Chambers.

Before Mr. Justice Sutherland.

Argued this morning.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS.

Before Recorder Hackett.

Argued this morning.

COURT OF OYER AND TERMINER.

Before Recorder Hackett and Aldermen Tucker and Brennan.

Argued this morning.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS—Special Term.

Before Recorder Hackett.

Argued this morning.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS.

Before Chief Justice Daly and Judges Robinson and Sedgwick.

Argued this morning.

SUPERIOR COURT.

Before Chief Justice Oakley and Judges Duer and Sandford.

Argued this morning.

COURT OF APPEALS.

Before Chief Judge Church and Judges Allen, Rapallo, Peckham, Miller, Earl and Andrews.

Argued this morning.

COURT OF CLAIMS.

Before Judge Cardozo.

Argued this morning.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.

Before Mr. Justice Brady.

Argued this morning.

SUPREME COURT—CHAMBERS.

Before Mr. Justice Barnard.

Argued this morning.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

Before Mr. Justice Ingraham.

Argued this morning.

SUPREME COURT—GENERAL TERM.

Before Mr. Justice Davis, Mr. Justice Barnard and Mr. Justice Brady.

Argued this morning.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.

*Before Mr. Justice Van Brunt